

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/621,740	07/17/2003	Joseph W. Cole	COLEI.0007P	1816
32856 7590 01/24/2008 WEIDE & MILLER, LTD. 7251 W. LAKE MEAD BLVD.			EXAMINER WILLIAMS, ROSS A	
,		•	3714	
		•		
		•	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
•		•	01/24/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
•	10/621,740	COLE, JOSEP	9H \W
Office Action Summary	10/621,740 Examiner	Art Unit	11 VV.
		ŀ	
The MAN INC DATE of this accommissation	Ross A. Williams	3714	a ddraa
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ntn the correspondence	address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	COMMUNICATION OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THE PROPERTY OF T	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of the BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133)	nis communication.
Status			
	2 Navambar 2007		•
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 0			
,—	This action is non-final.	tore proceedition as to	the morits is
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	•	•	me mems is
closed in accordance with the practice und	ei Ex parte Quayle, 1955 C.I	J. 11, 400 U.G. 210.	
Disposition of Claims	•		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5 and 16</u> is/are pending in the a	pplication.	·	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	drawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	•	•	
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5,16</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	d/or election requirement.		
·			•
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam		•	
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐	accepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.	:
Applicant may not request that any objection to			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co			
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form	PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
	sign priority under 25 H.S.C.	\$ 110(a) (d) or (f)	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	sign priority under 35 0.3.C.	3 119(a)-(u) 01 (1).	
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	onte have been received		
1. Certified copies of the priority docum	•	Annlication No	•
2. Certified copies of the priority docum			nal Stage
3. Copies of the certified copies of the	· ·	n received in this ivatio	nai Stage
application from the International Bu		t rapaivad	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	iist of the certified copies no	t received.	
Attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)	
2) D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)			

Art Unit: 3714

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 11/2/07 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 1, 3 - 5 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mattice (US 6,454,649) in view of Zehr (US 3,895,849).

· Art Unit: 3714

Claim 1: Mattice discloses a gaming apparatus that is configured to present at least one game to a user (Mattice 4:58 – 62). Mattice discloses a game machine that comprises a cabinet and a door that is connected to the cabinet by means of a hinge. The door rotates about a vertical axis by means of a vertical hinge (Mattice Fig 2). As can be seen by the illustration, the door is able to open and close thus defining at least 2 different positions (i.e. first and second positions). When the door is in the closed or in the first position the door and cabinet will form a generally closed interior space (Mattice Fig 2). As can be further seen the doors had and inner and outer surface (Mattice Fig 2). However Mattice does not disclose a game machine cabinet that possesses a damping door shock that includes a body and a piston wherein the piston extends from the body, the body and piston extending in a horizontal plane generally perpendicular to said vertical axis, wherein the door shock is configured to resist movement from said second position (i.e. open position) to first position (i.e. closed position). However Zehr discloses a cabinet that utilizes a hydraulic check that comprises a piston and a body that is connected the cabinet doors and the cabinet interior. The hydraulic check is configured to control the speed in which the doors close upon the cabinet by resisting the movement of the doors (Zehr 2:4 - 17).

It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the game machine cabinet of Mattice with Zehr, to incorporate the hydraulic check door damper in the game machine cabinet. This would be obvious because Zehr like that of Mattice both disclose cabinet-like structures wherein doors rotate about a vertical hinge to provide access to an interior compartment. A door damper would provide a gaming machine

· Art Unit: 3714

like that of Mattice with the ability to safely and automatically close the game door in a controlled manner. Thus preventing the doors from being slammed shut and potentially damaging delicate electronic components.

Claim 3: Zehr discloses that the piston is mounted to the door of the game machine and the body is connected to the cabinet (Zehr 2:4 – 12).

Claim 4: Zehr discloses that the piston rotates relative to the door of the cabinet (Zehr 2:8 - 9).

Claims 5: Zehr discloses that the body (i.e. housing) is pivotally rotatable about the mount that connects the housing to the cabinet (Zehr 2:12 - 14).

Claim 16: Zehr discloses the mounting the second end of the piston/housing to a bracket in a generally player mounting portion connecting to said cabinet in a generally horizontal plane (Zehr FIGs 1-4).

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mattice (US 6,454,649) in view of Zehr (US 3,895,849) as applied above in further view of Yokota (US 6, 952,528).

Claim 2: Mattice does not specifically disclose the mounting of a display to said door. However, Yokota does disclose the mounting of a display on a door that opens on a game machine cabinet to reveal an interior cabinet (Yokota 3:38 – 43).

It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Mattice in view of Zehr and Yokota to provide a game machine that has a display that is mounted on the door of the game machine. By mounting display to the door of the gaming machine

- Art Unit: 3714

cabinet space inside the cabinet can be used for other components of the gaming machine that are vital to the functioning of the machine.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 - 5 and 16 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ross A. Williams whose telephone number is (571) 272-5911. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Xuan Thai can be reached on (571) 272-7147. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

· Art Unit: 3714

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

RAW 1/15/07

RONALD LANEAU
PRIMARY EXAMINER

80/17/1